CHADBOURNE & PARKELLP

February 13, 2003

By Electronic Filing

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Written Ex Parte of Bridgecom International, Inc. and United Systems Access Telecom, Inc. in CC Docket Nos. 01-338, 96-98, and 98-147

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Bridgecom International, Inc. and United Systems Access Telecom, Inc. (collectively, the "Companies"), by their counsel, and pursuant to Section 1.1206(b) of the Commission's rules, hereby submit this notice of an *ex parte* meeting in the above-referenced dockets between a group of competitive carriers, including the Companies, and Daniel Gonzalez, from the Office of Commissioner Kevin Martin on the date of this letter.

During this meeting, a variety of issues relating to the status of the above-referenced dockets were discussed. The Companies believe that an incomplete dialogue occurred with respect to certain issues alluded to in today's meeting. These issues include the following:

- 1. The advantages of the NARUC proposal filed on February 6, 2003, and whether any aspects of the proposal are susceptible to attack on appeal;
- 2. The various issues raised by RBOCs in *ex parte* submissions filed within the extremely limited period of time during which the Sunshine restrictions were lifted in these dockets, to which the Companies had no opportunity to review and respond because of their unavailability:
 - 3. Issues surrounding transition from a UNE-P to a UNE-L environment; and
- 4. Public statements made by RBOCs that they will not increase their investment in facilities or any other infrastructure within the next two years, regardless of the outcome of these proceedings.

The Companies believe that the record is inadequately developed with respect to each of these issues, and welcome the opportunity to provide the Commission with any additional information that will assist in its deliberations. The Companies note that during the limited period during which the Sunshine restrictions were lifted in these dockets, they requested

CHADBOURNE & PARKELLP

Letter to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary February 13, 2003 Page 2 of 2

meetings with each of the Commissioners, each of their wireline legal advisors and with Wireline Competition Bureau staff to shed light on these and other issues. In every instance except one (our meeting with Mr. Gonzalez today), the Companies' requests were either ignored or denied.

Should the Commission or its staff seek additional input from the Companies, please do not hesitate to contact us at any time.

Respectfully submitted,

Kemal Hawa

Chadbourne & Parke LLP

Counsel for Bridgecom International, Inc., and United Systems Access Telecom, Inc.

cc:

Chairman Michael K. Powell
Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Commissioner Kevin J. Martin
Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein
Christopher Libertelli, Esq.
Daniel Gonzalez, Esq.
Matthew Brill, Esq.
Jordan Goldstein, Esq.
Lisa Zaina, Esq.
Jeffrey Carlisle, Esq.